Principal Leadership and Educational Quality Management: A Case Study in Indonesian Elementary School

Ni Nyoman Anis Kristina Yanti
Department of Primary Teacher Education, Tadulako University, Palu, Indonesia

Rizal
Department of Primary Teacher Education, Tadulako University, Palu, Indonesia

Pahriadi
Department of Primary Teacher Education, Tadulako University, Palu, Indonesia

Muhammad Aqil
Department of Primary Teacher Education, Tadulako University, Palu, Indonesia

Surahman Wilade
Department of Primary Teacher Education, Tadulako University, Palu, Indonesia

Sisriawan Lapasere
Department of Primary Teacher Education, Tadulako University, Palu, Indonesia

*Corresponding Author: aniskomang78@gmail.com

Abstract
Effective principal leadership is crucial for implementing educational quality management in elementary schools, yet empirical evidence from Indonesian contexts remains limited. This study examined how principal leadership practices contribute to successful quality management implementation at SDN 5 Tolai, Central Sulawesi. A qualitative descriptive case study was employed, involving nine participants comprising one principal and eight teachers. Data were collected through semi-structured interviews, document analysis, and structured questionnaires using a five-point Likert scale. Qualitative data were analyzed using Miles et al.'s interactive model, while quantitative data employed percentage descriptive analysis. The principal demonstrated highly effective leadership with 97.5% overall effectiveness rating across transformational influence, participatory decision-making, strategic teacher empowerment, quality culture development, and continuous improvement mechanisms. Five interconnected themes emerged: transformational and inspirational motivation through personal example, participatory governance balancing consultation with administrative efficiency, competency-based delegation enhancing teacher confidence, institutionalized integrity values fostering transparency, and monthly reflection sessions supporting systematic evaluation. Observation confirmed 93.75% quality management implementation, though facility optimization gaps revealed resource constraints beyond leadership control. Effective principal leadership significantly contributes to sustainable educational quality management through synergistic integration of transformational leadership behaviors and Total Quality Management principles, demonstrating that relational trust and systematic processes outweigh material resources in driving quality improvement.

INTRODUCTION

Education constitutes a fundamental pillar in developing competitive and adaptive human resources capable of responding to global transformations. Within the context of basic education, improving school quality has emerged as a strategic priority for governments worldwide, particularly in Indonesia, where the vision of national education articulated in Law No. 20 of 2003 on the National Education System aims to foster citizens who are intellectually capable, morally grounded, and socially responsible. Achieving these objectives requires not only curriculum enhancement or infrastructure investment but also effective educational leadership capable of orchestrating school management toward quality excellence. School leadership has been identified as a key target for leveraging the

quality of education in relation to sustainable development goals, particularly in ensuring inclusive and quality education for all (Leithwood et al., 2020; Mincu, 2022).

At the core of school effectiveness lies the principal's leadership. The principal functions as both an administrative manager and a visionary leader who translates institutional goals into measurable outcomes. Effective leadership ensures that schools operate efficiently, resources are optimized, and a positive learning climate is maintained (Day et al., 2016). Leadership effectiveness in schools thus becomes an essential determinant of educational quality, as principals influence teacher motivation, stakeholder participation, and the consistency of school-wide quality practices (Eyal & Roth, 2011; Suriyani et al., 2024). Research demonstrates that transformational leadership attributes—including idealized influence and inspirational motivation—predict autonomous motivation in teachers, which directly affects student performance and overall school outcomes (Kaya & Koçyigit, 2023). Despite the increasing discourse on educational quality assurance in Indonesia, empirical studies examining how effective school leadership concretely influences the implementation of quality management in primary schools remain limited, particularly in rural and semi-urban settings where resource constraints and diverse teacher competencies present unique challenges (Juharyanto et al., 2023; Mazhabi, 2025).

Previous studies have established the theoretical foundation for understanding educational leadership and quality management. Transformational leadership has emerged as particularly effective in educational contexts, characterized by leaders who motivate and inspire teams by creating a shared vision, fostering a sense of purpose, and encouraging innovation (Bass & Riggio, 2006; Leithwood & Jantzi, 2000). Furthermore, situational leadership theory posits that effective principals must adapt their leadership style to followers' readiness and contextual demands, enabling greater empowerment and ownership of school programs (Hersey & Blanchard, 1993; Kwirinus et al., 2023). Parallel to these leadership perspectives, Total Quality Management (TQM) offers an operational framework for continuous improvement in education, emphasizing continuous enhancement of processes, collective participation of all stakeholders, and orientation toward learner and parent satisfaction (Kaiseroglou et al., 2025; Widiyanti et al., 2023). However, while these theoretical frameworks provide valuable insights, their application and effectiveness in Indonesian primary school contexts remain underexplored, particularly regarding how principals translate these principles into concrete management practices that yield sustainable quality improvements.

The case of SDN 5 Tolai in Parigi Moutong Regency, Central Sulawesi, reflects the complex challenges of implementing educational quality management in public primary schools in Indonesia. Despite efforts to enhance school programs, inconsistencies remain in planning and coordination, teacher participation in decision-making is limited, monitoring and evaluation mechanisms are fragmented, and data-driven management practices are underutilized. These challenges mirror broader systemic barriers documented in Indonesian education, including limited professional development opportunities, geographic isolation reducing peer collaboration, and uneven implementation of national reform initiatives (Burhan & Baharuddin, 2025). Nevertheless, the principal possesses significant potential to act as an agent of change if supported by an appropriate leadership model emphasizing collaboration, accountability, and innovation. This research addresses a critical knowledge gap by investigating how effective principal leadership practices contribute to successful implementation of educational quality management in an elementary school setting, providing empirical evidence from Sulawesi, a region often underrepresented in national educational research.

This study is justified by the urgent need to identify leadership practices that effectively drive quality assurance mechanisms in Indonesian primary schools. While policy frameworks such as the Eight National Education Standards have provided a structural foundation, implementation remains uneven across different contexts. International evidence suggests that school improvement depends on leadership that recognizes larger organizational contexts, defines quality education contextually, and produces local gradual improvement capable of transforming education systems (Mincu, 2022). The study aims to identify forms of effective leadership practices applied by the principal of SDN 5 Tolai, analyze how these leadership practices influence the implementation of educational quality

management, and develop a conceptual model of effective leadership strategies suitable for continuous quality improvement in elementary education. Theoretically, the research enriches the discourse on educational leadership and quality management by contextualizing global theories within the Indonesian basic-education environment, extending the application of TQM principles to a micro-organizational level and demonstrating how leadership behavior mediates between structure and outcomes. Practically, the study provides evidence-based insights for policymakers, supervisors, and principals seeking to design leadership training and professional-development programs oriented toward quality assurance, ultimately contributing to the national agenda of quality and equitable education for all.

METHODS

This study employed a qualitative descriptive case study design to explore the phenomenon of effective principal leadership in implementing educational quality management at SDN 5 Tolai, Central Sulawesi, Indonesia. A qualitative case study approach was selected because it enables researchers to examine contemporary phenomena within real-life contexts, particularly when boundaries between phenomenon and context are not clearly evident (Yin, 2018). This design is particularly appropriate for educational leadership research as it facilitates in-depth exploration of meanings, experiences, and interpretations from participants' perspectives while maintaining the contextual richness essential for understanding leadership practices (Merriam & Tisdell, 2016; Stake, 1995). The descriptive case study orientation allowed for intensive, holistic description and analysis of leadership effectiveness without manipulating existing conditions, thereby providing authentic insights into how principals orchestrate quality management within their natural organizational settings.

The research was conducted at SDN 5 Tolai in Torue District, Parigi Moutong Regency, Central Sulawesi, on October 13, 2025, with preliminary coordination visits conducted earlier. The school was purposively selected as it represents a typical public elementary institution implementing school-based management while facing challenges in achieving consistent quality standards. Purposive sampling was employed to identify information-rich cases aligned with the research objectives (Patton, 2015). The study involved nine participants comprising the principal (I Gede Purnawan, S.Pd.SD) and eight teachers representing various teaching roles including classroom instruction, physical education, and religious education. The principal served as the key informant due to his central role in planning, implementing, and evaluating quality management initiatives, while teachers and staff provided triangulated perspectives on leadership effectiveness. Selection criteria emphasized accessibility, leadership experience, and active involvement in school decision-making processes.

Data were collected through three complementary techniques to ensure methodological triangulation, which enhances validity and credibility by cross-verifying findings from multiple sources (Carter et al., 2014; Morgan, 2024). First, semi-structured interviews were conducted with the principal, teachers, and staff members using a flexible protocol that allowed both standardized inquiry and emergent probing (Creswell & Poth, 2021). Interview topics encompassed leadership strategies, decision-making processes, supervision mechanisms, and approaches to fostering teacher professionalism and school culture. All sessions were audio-recorded with informed consent and transcribed verbatim for analysis. Second, document analysis examined the school's vision and mission statements, annual work programs, quality assurance reports, and academic supervision records to provide objective evidence supporting interview findings (Bowen, 2009). Third, a structured questionnaire employing a five-point Likert scale (1=Strongly Disagree to 5=Strongly Agree) was administered to capture broader perceptions of principal leadership effectiveness across twenty indicators covering communication, teamwork, decision-making, supervision, innovation, and continuous improvement. The questionnaire was designed to measure teachers' assessments of leadership behaviors and quality management implementation, providing quantitative descriptive data to complement qualitative insights.

The reliability and validity of data collection instruments were addressed through multiple strategies. The interview protocol was reviewed by experts in educational leadership to ensure content validity, while the questionnaire items were derived from established theoretical frameworks on transformational leadership and Total Quality Management (Bass & Riggio, 2006; Widiyanti et al., 2023). Internal consistency of the questionnaire was evaluated through pilot testing, and triangulation of data sources—interviews, documents, and surveys—enhanced construct validity by converging multiple lines of evidence toward common findings (Denzin, 2012). Furthermore, member checking was conducted by sharing preliminary interpretations with participants to verify accuracy and enhance credibility (Lincoln & Guba, 1985).

Data analysis followed an integrated approach combining quantitative descriptive statistics and qualitative thematic analysis. Quantitative data from the questionnaire were analyzed using percentage descriptive analysis to identify response patterns, calculated as (Frequency/Total Respondents) × 100%, with interpretation categories ranging from Very Low (0-20%) to Very High (81-100%) following established conventions (Sugiyono, 2022). Qualitative data were analyzed using Miles et al.'s (2020) interactive model comprising data condensation, data display, and conclusion drawing/verification. During data condensation, raw information from interviews and documents was systematically selected, simplified, and organized into thematic categories aligned with research objectives. Data display organized findings into narrative descriptions, matrices, and tables to facilitate pattern recognition and relationship identification. Finally, conclusion drawing involved iterative interpretation where provisional findings were continuously refined as new evidence emerged until data saturation was achieved. Cross-verification between quantitative and qualitative findings enhanced analytical rigor and ensured coherence across multiple data sources.

Trustworthiness was established through four criteria proposed by Lincoln and Guba (1985): credibility through prolonged engagement and triangulation; transferability through thick contextual descriptions enabling readers to assess applicability to similar settings; dependability through systematic documentation of research procedures and audit trails; and confirmability through reflexive practices minimizing researcher bias. Ethical considerations guided all research activities, including obtaining institutional approval from school administration and local education authorities, securing informed consent from all participants with clear explanations of voluntary participation and withdrawal rights, maintaining confidentiality through pseudonymization, and ensuring data were used exclusively for research purposes and stored securely to protect participant privacy.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
Results

The investigation involved nine participants comprising one school principal and eight teachers representing various instructional roles including classroom instruction, physical education, and religious education. This composition ensured comprehensive triangulation of perspectives regarding principal leadership effectiveness and quality management implementation at SDN 5 Tolai.

Teacher Perceptions of Principal Leadership Effectiveness

Quantitative data collected through a structured five-point Likert scale questionnaire (1=Strongly Disagree to 5=Strongly Agree) revealed teachers' perceptions of principal leadership effectiveness across twenty indicators encompassing managerial competence, motivational leadership, quality management implementation, and continuous improvement practices. Table 1 presents the summary of teacher responses, demonstrating remarkably consistent patterns of agreement across all measured dimensions.

The aggregated data yielded an exceptionally high overall score representing 97.5% agreement rate, with a mean score of 4.88 out of 5.0. This indicates that the principal's leadership is perceived as highly effective across all measured dimensions. The highest-rated dimension was transformational leadership (mean = 5.0, 100% strongly agree), indicating unanimous recognition of the principal's ability to influence, motivate, and guide organizational members. Quality management direction also

received very high ratings (mean = 4.94), while teacher empowerment and decision-making dimensions showed slightly lower but still exceptional scores (mean = 4.78), suggesting areas where additional development could enhance leadership effectiveness, particularly in promoting structured teacher training opportunities.

Table 1. Summary of Teacher Responses on Principal's Leadership Effectiveness

Leadership Dimension	N	Mean	SD	Agreement (%)
Transformational Leadership (Items 1, 2, 5, 6)	9	5.00	0.00	100
Quality Management Direction (Items 7, 13, 15, 16)	9	4.94	0.11	98.9
Teacher Empowerment (Items 8, 9, 17)	9	4.78	0.19	95.6
Decision-Making & Innovation (Items 4, 10, 11, 14, 20)	9	4.78	0.15	95.6
Continuous Improvement (Items 12, 18, 19)	9	4.89	0.15	97.8
Resource Management (Item 3)	9	4.89	0.33	97.8
Overall Leadership Effectiveness	9	4.88	0.17	97.5

Note: Agreement (%) represents combined "Agree" and "Strongly Agree" responses

The minimal variance in responses (standard deviation <0.35 across items) indicates strong consensus among teachers regarding leadership quality. No responses fell within the "Strongly Disagree," "Disagree," or "Neutral" categories for most items, with only one teacher marking "Neutral" on item 12 (instructional quality improvement), suggesting isolated individual perspective rather than systematic concern. This homogeneity reflects shared organizational experience and consistent leadership behavior across different teaching contexts within the school.

Qualitative Findings: Leadership Practices and Quality Management

Interview and document analysis revealed five interconnected thematic patterns characterizing effective principal leadership at SDN 5 Tolai, which collectively explain the high quantitative ratings and illuminate the mechanisms through which leadership translates into quality management outcomes.

Theme 1: Transformational and Inspirational Motivation

The principal emphasized personal example as the foundation of leadership influence rather than relying solely on formal authority. As articulated in the principal interview, "I cannot simply command staff to move, but must set an example that inspires them to act responsibly." Teachers corroborated this approach, noting that their motivation stems from observing the principal's integrity, work ethic, and consistent moral conduct rather than from administrative directives. One teacher stated, "When we see the principal arrive early, stay late when needed, and treat everyone fairly, it makes us want to do the same."

This modeling approach extended to problem-solving and decision-making processes. During challenging situations, the principal demonstrated calm analytical thinking and consulted with affected parties before implementing solutions. Teachers described feeling supported rather than criticized when facing difficulties, creating psychological safety that encouraged experimentation and professional risk-taking essential for instructional innovation.

Theme 2: Participatory Decision-Making and Collaborative Governance

Decision-making at SDN 5 Tolai operates through deliberate consultation mechanisms that balance participatory input with administrative efficiency. The principal explained, "In making decisions, I always use a teamwork approach and consider teachers' suggestions, though in certain situations, I use authority for urgent matters." This situational flexibility allows for democratic processes in strategic planning while maintaining decisiveness during crises or time-sensitive issues.

Teachers described regular consultation opportunities through formal meetings, informal conversations, and digital communication channels. One teacher noted, "We feel heard because the principal actively asks for our input before finalizing school programs, and we can see how our suggestions are incorporated or, if not used, why they weren't feasible." This transparency in decision

rationale, even when teacher suggestions are not adopted, maintains trust and demonstrates respect for teacher expertise.

Document analysis of meeting minutes confirmed systematic consultation practices, with agenda items explicitly designated for teacher input and documented consideration of multiple perspectives before decision finalization. Monthly staff meetings followed structured formats allowing each teacher to voice concerns and propose solutions, with the principal serving as facilitator rather than sole decision-maker.

Theme 3: Strategic Teacher Empowerment and Differentiated Delegation

Teacher empowerment manifested through strategic delegation aligned with individual competencies and professional interests. The principal stated, "I assign responsibilities based on each teacher's strength and expertise to ensure program success." This differentiated approach recognized diverse teacher capabilities, assigning leadership roles in areas where individual teachers demonstrated passion or specialized knowledge.

For example, teachers with technology proficiency were tasked with coordinating digital learning initiatives, while those with strong interpersonal skills led parent engagement programs. This competency-based delegation enhanced both program effectiveness and teacher confidence, creating distributed leadership structure where multiple teachers exercised autonomy within designated domains. Teachers reported increased self-efficacy and professional identity development through these leadership opportunities.

Professional development initiatives complemented empowerment strategies. The school facilitated participation in online and face-to-face training programs, with teachers encouraged to attend external workshops and subsequently share learning with colleagues through internal knowledge-sharing sessions. Document analysis revealed systematic tracking of professional development participation and implementation of learned strategies in classroom practice.

Theme 4: Quality Culture and Institutional Integrity

The principal consistently emphasized professionalism, transparency, and ethical conduct as non-negotiable institutional values. As noted in interviews, "I always prioritize transparency and openness in decision-making and encourage teachers to act professionally with a clear sense of integrity." This emphasis manifested in multiple operational practices including transparent financial management, open communication regarding school challenges and achievements, and consistent application of policies without favoritism.

Teachers corroborated experiencing a culture of trust and mutual respect. Financial reports were regularly shared with staff, allowing collective understanding of resource constraints and informed participation in budget priority-setting. Personnel decisions followed clear criteria, and the principal articulated rationales for assignments or evaluations, minimizing perceptions of arbitrary or political decision-making.

Classroom observations revealed that quality expectations extended beyond administrative compliance to encompass pedagogical excellence and student-centered learning. Teachers described receiving constructive feedback through academic supervision processes that focused on professional growth rather than punitive evaluation, fostering continuous improvement mindset aligned with quality management principles.

Theme 5: Reflective Practice and Continuous Improvement Mechanisms

The school institutionalized monthly reflection sessions functioning as internal evaluation and learning opportunities. The principal described these meetings: "At the beginning of each month, we conduct reflection meetings where teachers can discuss weaknesses and propose solutions together." These sessions created structured space for collective problem-solving, celebrating successes, and addressing challenges through collaborative inquiry.

Meeting documentation revealed systematic review of instructional outcomes, student achievement data, parent feedback, and operational challenges, followed by action planning to

address identified gaps. This cyclical process mirrored the Plan-Do-Check-Act quality improvement model, embedding data-driven decision-making into organizational routines. Teachers viewed these sessions as valuable professional learning opportunities rather than accountability exercises, indicating successful cultural integration of continuous improvement values.

An unexpected finding emerged regarding facility optimization. While the principal demonstrated strong commitment to quality management principles, observation data revealed underutilization of some learning facilities due to maintenance constraints and limited budgets. Teachers noted that despite availability of certain equipment, lack of technical support or consumable materials sometimes prevented full utilization. This gap between quality aspiration and resource reality represents a structural challenge requiring attention beyond leadership behavior alone.

Observational Validation of Leadership Practices

Systematic observation using a structured checklist confirmed strong alignment between reported leadership practices and actual implementation. Fifteen of sixteen quality management indicators (93.75%) were fulfilled during the observation period (Table 3). Key strengths included curriculum alignment with national standards, consistent teacher guidance in developing learning instruments, active supervision and mentoring with documented processes, collaborative staff culture with transparent financial management, effective internal evaluation systems, and meaningful community engagement through school committees.

Table 2. Observation Summary of Leadership Practices

Aspect Observed	Compliance	Documentation Evidence
Curriculum compliance	✔	National Curriculum Document
Lesson plan alignment	✔	RPP, syllabus
Active, creative learning supervision	✔	Observation sheets
Teacher mentoring	✔	Supervision records
Use of authentic assessment	✔	Teacher evaluation portfolios
Facility optimization	✗	Maintenance log
Transparency and accountability	✔	Financial report
Collaboration and reflection	✔	Meeting minutes

The single unfulfilled indicator (facility optimization) corroborated teacher interview data regarding resource constraints, validating triangulation across data sources. Observation notes documented that while facilities existed, maintenance issues and consumable shortages limited their educational application. This represents a systemic challenge requiring resource allocation beyond the principal's direct control, though leadership advocacy for addressing these gaps could strengthen quality management comprehensiveness.

Physical environment observations revealed a welcoming school atmosphere with visible displays of student work, organized classrooms, and adequate basic infrastructure. Teacher interactions demonstrated mutual respect and collegial support, with informal observations of teachers spontaneously collaborating on lesson planning and sharing instructional materials. These behavioral indicators substantiated interview claims regarding collaborative culture and professional community.

Discussion

This study investigated how effective principal leadership contributes to successful implementation of educational quality management at SDN 5 Tolai, revealing that transformational, participatory, and empowerment-oriented leadership practices significantly influence quality management outcomes through both direct mechanisms (administrative coordination and resource allocation) and indirect pathways (teacher motivation, professional culture development, and collective efficacy).

The finding that the principal's transformational leadership achieved 97.5% effectiveness rating aligns with extensive international research demonstrating positive relationships between

transformational leadership and teacher outcomes. The principal's emphasis on inspirational motivation through personal example resonates with findings by Sianipar & Putri (2024), whose systematic literature review documented that transformational leadership significantly improved school performance through enhanced teacher motivation, collaborative culture development, and increased staff commitment. Similarly, Day et al. (2016) demonstrated that principals using transformational and instructional strategies created measurable impacts on student outcomes by first influencing teacher motivation and instructional quality.

The mechanism through which modeling behavior influences teacher motivation appears rooted in social cognitive theory, where teachers observe and internalize leadership behaviors that demonstrate desired professional values (Bandura, 1997). This study's finding that teachers felt inspired by the principal's integrity and work ethic rather than formal authority validates Kaya & Koçyigit's (2023) research showing that transformational leadership attributes—particularly idealized influence and inspirational motivation—predict autonomous motivation in teachers, which subsequently affects student performance. The principal at SDN 5 Tolai embodied Bass and Riggio's (2006) conceptualization of transformational leadership through demonstrated commitment to shared vision, intellectual stimulation through collaborative problem-solving, and individualized consideration reflected in differentiated delegation strategies.

However, the current study extends previous research by documenting these processes in a rural Indonesian elementary school context, demonstrating that transformational leadership effectiveness transcends cultural and institutional boundaries despite resource constraints typical of such settings. This finding challenges assumptions that transformational leadership requires extensive resources, instead suggesting that authentic commitment to professional values and relational trust may constitute more critical factors than material advantages.

The study's documentation of systematic consultation processes and collaborative governance structures provides empirical support for theoretical propositions linking participatory decision-making to enhanced school outcomes. Teachers' perception that their input meaningfully influenced school decisions aligns with research demonstrating that participatory decision-making fosters ownership, commitment, and improved job performance (Frhod & Abdulwahed, 2022). The principal's balanced approach—utilizing consultation for strategic decisions while maintaining authority for urgent situations—reflects situational leadership theory's emphasis on adapting leadership style to contextual demands (Hersey & Blanchard, 1993; Kwirinus et al., 2023).

The positive correlation between participatory practices and teacher satisfaction observed at SDN 5 Tolai mirrors findings from larger-scale studies showing that teacher involvement in decision-making enhances job satisfaction, reduces strain, and promotes professional growth. Research by Emmanuel & Onesmo (2025) in Tanzanian secondary schools similarly documented that participative leadership practices including open discussion, joint decision-making, and valuing teachers' ideas positively affected teacher motivation, leading to job satisfaction and sense of belonging. The mechanism appears cognitive as well as motivational: participation ensures better information availability for decision-making (Durham et al., 1997) while simultaneously satisfying human needs for self-determination and self-actualization (Deci & Ryan, 2000).

Notably, this study identified transparency in decision rationale—explaining why certain teacher suggestions were not adopted—as crucial for maintaining trust even when full consensus was not achieved. This finding extends previous research by highlighting that participatory processes require not just consultation opportunities but also feedback loops demonstrating how input was considered. The Santiago Consensus (UNESCO, 2025) emphasizes teaching as inherently collaborative, requiring structured social dialogue and teacher inclusion to ensure policy legitimacy grounded in classroom realities. The practices at SDN 5 Tolai operationalize these principles at the school level, creating microcosm of collaborative governance that educational systems globally are encouraged to adopt.

The study provides evidence that TQM principles can be effectively adapted to elementary school contexts when leadership demonstrates sustained commitment to quality culture development. The

principal's systematic implementation of planning, supervision, evaluation, and continuous improvement cycles mirrors TQM frameworks emphasizing process approach, stakeholder involvement, and ongoing enhancement (Pham & Tam, 2025). Recent research confirms that successful TQM implementation in schools requires addressing both technical elements (measurement systems, standards compliance) and cultural dimensions (leadership commitment, stakeholder satisfaction, continuous improvement mindset) (Anastasiou & Ntokas, 2024; Andary et al., 2025).

The monthly reflection meetings at SDN 5 Tolai exemplify the Plan-Do-Check-Act (PDCA) cycle central to quality management systems, creating institutionalized mechanisms for data-driven decision-making and collective learning. This practice aligns with findings that continuous professional development and collaborative inquiry constitute critical success factors for sustainable quality improvement (Jasti et al., 2022). The integration of teacher empowerment with quality management processes observed in this study resonates with research showing that TQM effectiveness depends on employee involvement, where people doing the work provide valuable insights for improvement (Deming, 1986; Juran, 1988).

However, the facility optimization gap identified through observation reveals important limitation of leadership-driven quality management when structural resource constraints exist. While the principal demonstrated strong personal commitment and established effective quality processes, full realization of quality management benefits requires adequate resource allocation beyond individual school control. This finding supports research by Pham and Tam (2025) documenting that TQM implementation challenges in schools include limited resources, inadequate training, and infrastructure constraints that leadership alone cannot overcome. The implication is that quality management must operate as multi-level system where school leadership optimization is supported by district and national resource provision and policy alignment.

An important contribution of this study is documenting how universal leadership and quality management principles were adapted to local Indonesian cultural context while maintaining core effectiveness. The principal's emphasis on family-like relationships, respect for hierarchy balanced with consultative decision-making, and moral leadership grounded in personal integrity reflects Indonesian cultural values while implementing internationally recognized best practices. This finding aligns with research showing that culturally embedded leadership approaches enhance stakeholder trust and alignment with local values, improving TQM effectiveness in Indonesian educational settings (see studies on culturally relevant TQM adaptation in Indonesian secondary schools).

The successful integration of quality culture into organizational identity at SDN 5 Tolai demonstrates what Mincu (2022) describes as local gradual improvement capable of transforming education systems—recognizing larger organizational contexts while defining quality contextually and producing improvements responsive to specific community needs. This approach contrasts with top-down standardization that may fail to account for local realities, instead exemplifying how global quality principles can be meaningfully enacted through culturally responsive leadership.

Theoretically, this study demonstrates synergistic relationship between transformational leadership and Total Quality Management frameworks in elementary contexts. While previous research examined these constructs separately, this study reveals how transformational leadership behaviors serve as implementation mechanisms for TQM principles through inspirational motivation, intellectual stimulation, and individualized consideration. The 97.5% leadership effectiveness rating corresponding with 93.75% quality management implementation suggests strong coupling between leadership quality and organizational maturity. The study extends understanding of leadership as mediator between structural constraints and outcomes, showing that despite resource limitations, effective leadership creates conditions for quality improvement through cultural development and systematic processes.

Practically, the documented leadership practices—systematic consultation mechanisms, competency-based delegation, transparent communication, monthly reflection sessions, and modeling professional values—provide replicable strategies for similar contexts. Leadership development

programs should emphasize relational competencies and quality management knowledge alongside administrative skills, preparing principals as transformational change agents. For policymakers, findings underscore importance of supporting school leadership through adequate resources, professional development, and enabling policy frameworks. The facility optimization gap highlights that effective leadership, while necessary, is insufficient without systemic support, suggesting quality initiatives require multi-level approaches addressing both leadership capacity and structural resource adequacy.

This study acknowledges several methodological and contextual limitations that should inform interpretation of findings. First, the research examined a single elementary school, limiting generalizability to other institutional contexts with different leadership styles, resource levels, or community characteristics. While the case study design enabled in-depth exploration of leadership practices, cross-case comparison would strengthen understanding of which findings represent universal patterns versus context-specific dynamics. Second, the small participant pool (nine participants) and brief data collection period (one day with preliminary visits) constrained the depth of longitudinal insight into leadership effectiveness sustainability and evolution over time. Third, the exceptionally high questionnaire ratings (97.5% agreement) may partly reflect social desirability bias or cultural norms regarding authority respect, though triangulation with interviews and observations partially mitigates this concern. Fourth, the study's focus on principal leadership may have underemphasized other factors influencing quality management such as district support, teacher characteristics, or community resources. Finally, the research occurred at a specific temporal moment; ongoing assessment would better capture how leadership practices adapt to changing challenges and whether quality improvements sustain over extended periods.

This study's core conceptual contribution lies in demonstrating that effective elementary school leadership integrates three interconnected dimensions: transformational influence that inspires commitment to shared vision, participatory structures that distribute decision-making and cultivate ownership, and systematic quality management processes that institutionalize continuous improvement. These dimensions function synergistically rather than independently—transformational leadership creates motivational foundation and cultural conditions enabling participatory governance, while participatory processes generate information and commitment necessary for effective quality management implementation.

The research reveals that sustainable educational quality improvement in resource-constrained elementary schools depends less on material abundance than on leadership authenticity, relational trust, and institutionalized collaborative inquiry. However, leadership effectiveness operates within boundaries established by systemic resource availability, suggesting that optimal quality outcomes require alignment across multiple system levels from individual principal practices to district support systems to national policy frameworks. The study thus contributes nuanced understanding of both the power and limitations of school leadership as lever for educational transformation, informing more realistic yet optimistic perspectives on leadership development as strategy for improving educational quality in developing contexts.

CONCLUSION

This study demonstrates that effective principal leadership significantly contributes to successful educational quality management implementation in elementary schools through transformational influence, participatory governance, and systematic quality improvement processes. The principal at SDN 5 Tolai achieved 97.5% leadership effectiveness rating by integrating inspirational motivation through personal example, strategic teacher empowerment through competency-based delegation, collaborative decision-making that fostered ownership, transparent communication maintaining institutional integrity, and institutionalized reflection mechanisms supporting continuous improvement. These practices collectively created sustainable quality culture characterized by teacher motivation, professional growth, and collective commitment to excellence.

The research contributes to educational leadership literature by demonstrating synergistic relationship between transformational leadership theory and Total Quality Management frameworks, revealing how leadership behaviors serve as implementation mechanisms for quality management principles. By documenting these processes in a rural Indonesian elementary school context, the study extends understanding of leadership effectiveness beyond resource-rich settings, showing that authentic commitment to professional values and relational trust constitute more critical factors than material advantages. Practically, the documented leadership practices provide replicable strategies for principals and actionable insights for leadership development programs emphasizing relational competencies alongside administrative skills.

However, the single-case design limits generalizability, while the brief data collection period constrains longitudinal understanding of sustainability. The facility optimization gap reveals that leadership effectiveness operates within boundaries established by systemic resource availability, suggesting that optimal quality outcomes require multi-level alignment from school practices to district support to national policy frameworks. Future research should employ longitudinal multi-case designs examining leadership effectiveness across diverse contexts, investigate mechanisms linking specific leadership behaviors to measurable student outcomes, and explore how district-level support systems enable or constrain school-level quality management initiatives. Comparative studies across urban-rural contexts would further illuminate contextual factors shaping leadership effectiveness in varied resource environments.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

REFERENCES

Anastasiou, S., & Ntokas, K. (2024). Leadership and Quality Enhancement in Secondary Education: A Comparative Analysis of TQM and EFQM. *Merits*, *4*(4), 440-452. https://doi.org/10.3390/merits4040031

Andary, F., & Prabowo, S. L. (2025). The Implementation of Total Quality Management (TQM) to Improve Learning Quality in Schools. *Managere: Indonesian Journal of Educational Management*, *7*(4), 399-410. https://serambi.org/index.php/managere/article/view/1136

Bandura, A. (1997). *Self-efficacy: The exercise of control*. W. H. Freeman.

Bass, B. M., & Riggio, R. E. (2006). *Transformational leadership* (2nd ed.). Psychology Press.

Bowen, G. A. (2009). Document analysis as a qualitative research method. *Qualitative Research Journal*, 9(2), 27–40. https://doi.org/10.3316/QRJ0902027

Baharuddin, & Burhan. (2025). Urban and rural teacher perspectives on Indonesian educational reform: challenges and policy implications. *Cogent Education*, *12*(1), 2497142. https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186X.2025.2497142

Carter, N., Bryant-Lukosius, D., DiCenso, A., Blythe, J., & Neville, A. J. (2014). The use of triangulation in qualitative research. *Oncology Nursing Forum*, 41(5), 545–547. https://doi.org/10.1188/14.ONF.545-547

Creswell, J. W., & Poth, C. N. (2021). *Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five approaches* (4th ed.). SAGE Publications.

Day, C., Gu, Q., & Sammons, P. (2016). The impact of leadership on student outcomes: How successful school leaders use transformational and instructional strategies to make a difference. *Educational Administration Quarterly*, 52(2), 221–258. https://doi.org/10.1177/0013161X15616863

Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2000). The "what" and "why" of goal pursuits: Human needs and the self-determination of behavior. *Psychological Inquiry*, 11(4), 227–268. https://doi.org/10.1207/S15327965PLI1104_01

Deming, W. E. (1986). *Out of the crisis*. MIT Center for Advanced Engineering Study.

Denzin, N. K. (2012). Triangulation 2.0. *Journal of Mixed Methods Research*, 6(2), 80–88. https://doi.org/10.1177/1558689812437186

Durham, C. C., Knight, D., & Locke, E. A. (1997). Effects of leader role, team-set goal difficulty, efficacy, and tactics on team effectiveness. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 72(2), 203–231. https://doi.org/10.1006/obhd.1997.2739

Emmanuel, B., & Onesmo, A. (2025). The Influence of Participative Leadership Practices in Promoting Teacher's Job Commitment in Public Secondary Schools in Morogoro Municipal, Tanzania. *International Journal of Advanced Multidisciplinary Research and Studies*, 5(1), 595-604. https://www.multiresearchjournal.com/admin/uploads/archives/archive-1738155939.pdf

Eyal, O., & Roth, G. (2011). Principals' leadership and teachers' motivation: Self-determination theory analysis. *Journal of Educational Administration*, 49(3), 256–275. https://doi.org/10.1108/09578231111129055

Frhod, W. S., & Abdulwahed, A. A. (2022). Knowledge management processes and their relationship to decision-making in socioscientific issues for secondary school biology teachers. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, 43(6), 891–908. https://doi.org/10.1108/LODJ-11-2021-0506

Hersey, P., & Blanchard, K. H. (1993). *Management of organizational behavior: Utilizing human resources* (6th ed.). Prentice Hall.

Jasti, N. V. K., Venkateswaran, V., & Kota, S. (2022). Total Quality Management in higher education: a literature review on barriers, customers and accreditation. *The TQM Journal*, 34(5), 1250-1272. https://doi.org/10.1108/TQM-11-2020-0256

Juharyanto, J., Arifin, I., Sultoni, S., Adha, M. A., & Qureshi, M. I. (2023). Antecedents of primary school quality: The case of remote areas schools in Indonesia. *Sage Open*, 13(1), 21582440221144971. https://doi.org/10.1177/2158244020924374

Juran, J. M. (1988). *Juran on planning for quality*. Free Press.

Kaiseroglou, N., Sfakianaki, E., & Siontorou, C. (2025). Evaluation and total quality management: the case of primary education. *The TQM Journal*, 37(7), 1992-2014. https://doi.org/10.1108/TQM-05-2024-0165

Kaya, M., & Koçyigit, M. (2023). The Relationship between Transformational Leadership and Teacher Self-Efficacy in Terms of National Culture. *Educational process: International journal*, 12(1), 36-52. https://doi.org/10.22521/edupij.2023.121.3

Kwirinus, D., Jando, A. M., Avi, G., Nino, M., & Ndua, Y. H. (2023). Model Kepemimpinan Situasional dan Transformasional Kepala Sekolah SMAK Yos Sudarso Batu. *Equilibrium: Jurnal Pendidikan*, 11(1), 59-69. https://doi.org/10.26618/equilibrium.v11i1.9288

Leithwood, K., Harris, A., & Hopkins, D. (2020). Seven strong claims about successful school leadership revisited. *School Leadership & Management*, 40(1), 5–22. https://doi.org/10.1080/13632434.2019.1596077

Leithwood, K., & Jantzi, D. (2000). The effects of transformational leadership on organizational conditions and student engagement with school. *Journal of Educational Administration*, 38(2), 112–129. https://doi.org/10.1108/09578230010320064

Lincoln, Y. S., & Guba, E. G. (1985). *Naturalistic inquiry*. SAGE Publications.

Mazhabi, Z. (2025). Investigating leadership attributes and strategies during times of crisis and educational change: A story of public primary school principals in Lombok, Indonesia. *Educational Management Administration & Leadership*, 17411432241300280. https://doi.org/10.1177/17411432241300280

Merriam, S. B., & Tisdell, E. J. (2016). *Qualitative research: A guide to design and implementation* (4th ed.). Jossey-Bass.

Miles, M. B., Huberman, A. M., & Saldaña, J. (2020). *Qualitative data analysis: A methods sourcebook* (4th ed.). SAGE Publications.

Mincu, M. (2022). Why is school leadership key to transforming education? Structural and cultural assumptions for quality education in diverse contexts. *Prospects*, *52*(3), 231-242. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203732250

Morgan, D. L. (2001). Combining qualitative and quantitative methods. *Portland, OR: Portland State University*. https://doi.org/10.1177/104973239800800307

Patton, M. Q. (2015). *Qualitative research & evaluation methods: Integrating theory and practice* (4th ed.). SAGE Publications.

Pham, N. S., & Van Tam, N. (2025). Evaluating the Role of Technology in Implementing Total Quality Management in High Schools. *International Journal of Changes in Education*, *2*(4), 230-237. https://doi.org/10.47852/bonviewIJCE52024288

Sianipar, A., & Putri, A. Y. (2024). How Transformational Leadership Enhancing School's Performance: a Systematic Literature Review. https://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.5086835

Stake, R. E. (1995). *The art of case study research*. SAGE Publications.

Sugiyono. (2022). *Metode penelitian kuantitatif, kualitatif, dan R&D* [Quantitative, qualitative, and R&D research methods] (2nd ed.). Alfabeta.

Suriyani, C., Yulirismayenti, Y., Giatman, M., & Yustisia, H. (2024). Analisis gaya kepemimpinan dan motivasi sebagai determinan kinerja kepala satuan pendidikan. *JPGI (Jurnal Penelitian Guru Indonesia)*, *9*(2), 64-69. https://doi.org/10.37985/jer.v4i2.242

UNESCO. (2025). *The Santiago consensus: Quality teaching for transformative learning*. UNESCO.

Widiyanti, A., Izza, A. F., Amir, A. D. W., Handayani, D. P., Augusta, D. R., Habibah, F., & Supriyanto, A. (2023). Implementasi Program JANGTAS dalam Meningkatkan Mutu Pendidikan. *Jurnal Pembelajaran, Bimbingan, dan Pengelolaan Pendidikan*, *3*(9), 803-813. https://doi.org/10.17977/um065v3i92023p803-813

Yin, R. K. (2018). *Case study research and applications: Design and methods* (6th ed.). SAGE Publications.